# Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance of medium-growing yellow -feathered chickens between 1-30 days of age

## Abstract

This experiment investigated the effects of L-Methionine (L-Met) on growth performance and feather traits, and compared with DL-Met in mediumgrowing yellow-feathered broilers during 1 to 30 days of age. A total of 1,584 1-day old broilers were randomly divided into 11 treatment groups with 6 replicates of 24 birds each: basal diet (CON, Met 0.28%), basal diet + L-Met (0.04%, 0.08%, 0.12%, 0.16%, 0.20%), basal diet + DL-Met (0.04%, 0.08%, 0.12%, 0.16%, 0.20%). Compared with broilers fed the basal diet, dietary with 0.04 to 0.20% supplemental Met increased the final body weight (FBW), average daily feed intake (ADFI), average daily gain (ADG) and decreasing feed to gain ration (F/G) (P < 0.05).

According to the ADG, ADFI and F/G of 1-30 d, the relative biological value (RBV) of L-Met compared with DL-Met were 172.4%, 88.2% and 283.0%, respectively. Compared with the control group, supplementation with 0.20% L-Met and not with DL-Met significantly increased the length of the fourth primary wing feather and score of moulting (P < 0.05). In conclusion, Dietary supplementation with L-Met or DL-Met improved the growth performance, and feather traits of yellow-feathered broilers aged 1 to 30 d, was improved only when L-Met was supplemented. The relative bioavailability (RBV) of L-Met was higher than DL-Met. From the quadratic regressions, the optimal supplementation L-Met and DL-Met of medium-growing yellow-feathered broilers at the started phase to achieve the best performance (ADG, F/G) were 0.44% Met (supplementation 0.16% L-Met) and 0.48% Met (supplementation 0.20% DL-Met), the daily Met requirement was 0.152g and 0.168g based on L-Met and DL-Met, and the ratio of Lys to Met were 100:42 and 100:46, respectively.



## Background

Methionine (Met), the first limiting amino acid in broiler diet, plays an important role in protein synthesis (Lemme et al., 2020), as a methyl donor (Parkhitko et al., 2019), and in cell proliferation (Tsiagbe et al., 1987). Diets with inadequate Met content can have a negative impact on growth performance (Seifalinasab et al., 2022), carcass quality (Majdeddin et al., 2019), antioxidant capacity (Martinez et al., 2017) and lipid metabolism (Moghadam et al., 2017). Therefore, the precise requirement of Met has particularly importance for broiler production (Xue et al., 2018; Fagundes et al., 2020).

At present, DL-methionine (DL-Met) is the most commonly used sources of Met, which is a 50:50 percent composition of D-Met and L-Met produced via chemical synthesis. L-methionine (L-Met) is the natural form of Met produced via fermentation. Thus, it can be directly absorbed and utilized by animals (Georgiev et al., 2002). However, D-Met cannot be used directly which means it first needs to be converted to L-Met by D-Amino acid oxidase (D-AAO) (Brachet et al., 1992). The two isomers of Met (D- and L-isomer) compete sometimes for the same transporter, but L-Met has a much higher affinity for most of the transporters (Yi et al., 2006). The absorption rate of D-Met and DL-Met in the gut is significantly slower than that of L-Met, and the difference in absorption rate between D-Met and L-Met was particularly obvious under heat stress (Richards et al., 2005).

The efficacy of L-Met in comparison to DL-Met has been examined recently in fastgrowing chickens (Shen et al., 2015) or other species (Powell et al., 2017; Park et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). These studies reported the advantages of L-Met compared with DL-Met. Yellow-feathered chickens are very important in China, which 4 billion marketsize annually (Tang et al., 2021). L-Met requirements of yellow-feathered broilers remains not fully elucidated at different growth rate. The aim of this trial was to look at the effects of different levels and sources of Met on growth performance and feather traits of medium-growing yellow-feathered chickens during the starter phase and further provides a rational recommendation for the appropriate dietary Met levels for yellow-feathered chickens.

18

19

# Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance of medium-growing yellow -feathered chickens between 1-30 days of age

## Materials and methods

Nutrition

#### **Birds, Experimental Design and Diets**

The experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Institute of Animal Science, Guangdong Academy of Agriculture Science, Guangzhou, P. R. China, with the approval number of GAASISA-2015-020.

A total of 1584 1 day (d), yellow-feathered chickens (medium-growing strain) were randomly divided into 11 treatment groups (Table 1), each with 6 replicates of 24 broilers. Birds in the control group (CON) were fed a basal diet (CON, Met 0.28%), and birds in the other 10 treatments received the basal diet with added L-Met (0.04%, 0.08%, 0.12%, 0.16%, 0.20%) or DL-Met (0.04%, 0.08%, 0.12%, 0.16%, 0.20%). Birds were raised in floor pens with wood shavings litter, with the stocking density of 0.20 m2/bird. The room temperature was kept at 32 to 34°C for the first 3 days and then reduced by 2°C per week until

Table 1. Experimental design

Treatment	Met source	Met supplemental level (%)	Total dietary Met (%)
T0 (Control)	Basal diet	0.00	0.28
T1		0.04	0.32
T2		0.08	0.36
Т3	DL-Met	0.12	0.40
T4		0.16	0.44
T5		0.20	0.48
Т6		0.04	0.32
Т7		0.08	0.36
Т8	L-Met	0.12	0.40
Т9		0.16	0.44
T10		0.20	0.48

settled at 28°C. Diets and water were supplied ad libitum throughout the experiment. The diets were formulated according to Chinese Nutrient Requirements of Yellow Chickens (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, 2020), with the exception of Met. Details of ingredient composition and nutrient contents of the experimental diets for chickens are provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Composition and nutrient levels of the basal diet

Ingredients		Nutrient levels <sup>2</sup>	
Corn	60.39	AME(MJ/kg)	11.92
Soybean meal	25.05	СР	19.90
Peanut meal	5.00	Са	1.00
Pea protein powder	2.41	Р	0.74
Soybean oil	2.74	Lys	1.05
CaHPO <sub>4</sub>	1.68	Met	0.28
NaCl	0.30	Met+Cys	0.59
Limestone	1.09	Thr	0.72
L-Lys·HCl	0.10	Тгр	0.22
L-Met	0.00	Arg	1.40
DL-Met	0.00	lle	0.77
Rice bran	0.24	Val	0.90
Premix <sup>1</sup>	1.00		
Total	100.00		

<sup>1</sup>Premix provided the following per kilogram of the diet: VA 12 000 IU, VD<sub>3</sub> 600 IU, VE 45 IU, VK<sub>3</sub> 2.5 mg, VB<sub>1</sub> 1.8 mg, VB<sub>2</sub> 9.0 mg, VB<sub>6</sub> 2.8 mg, VB<sub>12</sub> 16 mg, choline 1300 mg, niacin 42 mg, pantothenic acid 16 mg, folic acid 1.0 mg, biotin 0.12 mg, Fe 80 mg, Cu 18.8 mg, Mn 60 mg, Zn 80 mg, I 0.7 mg, Se 0.15 mg.

<sup>2</sup>AME was calculated values which based on the data presented in the Chinese feed database (Chinese feed database. 2021), while the others were measured values.

20

# Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance of medium-growing yellow -feathered chickens between 1-30 days of age

## Materials and methods

#### **Measurement of Growth Performance**

Initial and final body weights were recorded per replicate on d 1 and 30 of age, respectively. Mortality was checked daily, and dead birds were recorded and weighed to adjust estimates of gain and intake. Average daily body weight gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed to gain ratio (F/G) was calculated on a replicate basis from 1 to 30 d.

Nutrition

#### **Evaluation of Feather Traits**

At 29 d, 30 chickens (5 birds per replicate) were randomly chosen from each treatment group for feather status measurement. The length of the fourth primary wing feather was measured using a ruler with a minimum scale of 1 mm. The feathers of abdomen, back and breast were scored subjectively using the criteria given in Table 3, according to Davis et al. (2004).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Replicates or individual birds were taken as the experimental unit. The effects of dietary Met treatment were assessed by one-way ANOVA procedures of SAS (version 8.0). Preplanned contrasts were used to evaluate the effects of Met sources (CON vs. DL-Met, CON vs. L-Met, and DL-Met vs. L-Met). When treatment effects were significant (P < 0.05), means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test. Tabulated results were shown as means with standard error of mean (SEM). For key performance variables (ADFI, ADG, F/G), the dietary Met requirement of the birds was estimated using quadratic polynomial (QP) models by the NLIN procedure of SAS. QP model:  $Y=c+bX+aX^2$ , where a = quadratic coefficient, b = linear coefficient, c = intercept. The requirement of Met was defined as Met =  $-b/(2 \times a)$ . Nonlinear exponential regression analysis was used to evaluate the relative bioavailability (RBV) of L-Met and DL-Met (Littell et al., 1997; Shen et al., 2014). Y=a + b × (1-EXP- $(c_1X_1 + c_2X_2))$ , in which y = variable (ADFI, ADG, F/G), a = intercept (value for the CON), b = asymptotic response, a + b = common asymptote (maximum growth performance level),  $c_1$  = slope ratio for DL-Met,  $c_2$  = slope ratio for L-Met, and X1 and X<sub>2</sub> = dietary supplemental level of DL-Met and L-Met, respectively. The RBV of L-Met and DL-Met were given by the ratio of their c values = [100 imes $(c_2/c_1)$ ] according to Littell et al. (1997).

Table 3. Scoring criteria of feather traits<sup>1</sup>

Molting degree	Feather class	Score
	0-	1
The back, breast and abdomen have not yet moulted	0	2
	0+	3
	1-	4
A few on the back and breast and abdomen began to molt	1	5
	1+	6
	2-	7
The back and breast and abdomen are moulting, and there are more down feathers left	2	8
	2+	9
	3-	10
The back, breast and abdomen are more moulting, and there are less down feathers left	3	11
	3+	12
Associations to the Devis et al. (2004)		

<sup>1</sup>According to the Davis et al. (2004)

## Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance of medium-growing yellow -feathered chickens between 1-30 days of age

#### Results

#### Effects of Dietary Met sources and supplemental levels on growth performance

Compared with the control group, 0.04 to 0.20% Met addition increased FBW, ADFI, ADG and improved F/G (P < 0.05). There were interactions (P < 0.05) between levels and sources of Met on the FBW, ADFI and ADG.

Table 4. Effects of Met source and supplemental levels on growth performance of yellow-feathered broilers from 1 to 30 days of age1

Iten	n	IBW	30d FBW	ADFI	ADG	F/G	Mortality	
Met source	Supplemental Dietary Met level	(g)	(g)	(g/d)	(g/d)	F/G	Mortality (%)	
	0.00	38.79	486.08 <sup>f</sup>	31.81 <sup>e</sup>	15.97°	2.00ª	1.39	
	0.04	38.81	563.85°	34.75 <sup>bcd</sup>	18.75 <sup>e</sup>	1.87 <sup>b</sup>	1.39	
DL-Met	0.08	38.81	570.09°	34.4 <sup>cd</sup>	18.97 <sup>e</sup>	1.82 <sup>bc</sup>	1.39	
DL-Met	0.12	38.78	616.85 <sup>ab</sup>	36.99ª	20.73ª	1.82 <sup>bc</sup>	3.47	
	0.16	38.83	579.86 <sup>de</sup>	33.87ª	19.3 <sup>cd</sup>	1.76 <sup>cd</sup>	1.39	
	0.20	38.82	613.20 <sup>abc</sup>	34.99 <sup>bcd</sup>	20.51 <sup>ab</sup>	1.71 <sup>d</sup>	0.00	
	0.04	38.82	587.50 <sup>cde</sup>	35.06 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.57 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.79 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.00	
	0.08	38.79	587.42 <sup>cde</sup>	33.92 <sup>d</sup>	19.61 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.74 <sup>cd</sup>	0.69	
L-Met	0.12	38.80	597.45 <sup>bcd</sup>	34.60 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.95 <sup>abc</sup>	1.74 <sup>cd</sup>	1.39	
	0.16	38.85	626.03ª	36.41 <sup>ab</sup>	20.97ª	1.77 <sup>cd</sup>	2.78	
	0.20	38.84	618.53 <sup>ab</sup>	36.03 <sup>abc</sup>	20.70ª	1.76 <sup>cd</sup>	2.08	
SEM		0.032	9.069	0.610	0.324	0.029	0.836	
Main Effect								
Met source	DL-Met	38.81	589.23 <sup>b</sup>	35.00	19.66 <sup>b</sup>	1.80	1.53	
Metsource	L-Met	38.82	603.31ª	35.20	20.16ª	1.76	1.39	
	0.00	38.79	486.08 <sup>d</sup>	31.81°	15.97 <sup>d</sup>	2.00ª	1.39	
	0.04	38.81	575.33 <sup>bc</sup>	34.90 <sup>ab</sup>	19.16 <sup>bc</sup>	1.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.69	
Mational (0/)	0.08	38.80	578.93 <sup>b</sup>	34.16 <sup>b</sup>	19.29 <sup>b</sup>	1.78 <sup>bc</sup>	1.04	
Met level (%)	0.12	38.79	608.29ª	35.80ª	20.34ª	1.78 <sup>bc</sup>	2.43	
	0.16	38.84	602.94ª	35.14ª	20.15ª	1.77°	2.08	
	0.20	38.83	615.86ª	35.51ª	20.61ª	1.73°	1.04	
Sources of va	riation							
Supplementa	l Met level	0.433	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	0.277	
Met Source		0.694	0.029	0.631	0.029	0.082	0.811	
Level×source	9	0.982	0.012	0.007	0.012	0.100	0.117	

<sup>a-f</sup>Within a column, means with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>Data are means of 6 replicates with 24 birds per replicate for the interaction.

For the main effects of Met source, data are means of 30 replicates with 24 birds per replicate; the main effects of Met supplemental level, data are means of 12 replicates with 24 birds per replicate.

There were increased efficacies of L-Met relative to DL-Met for ADG, ADFI and F/G, 172.4%, 88.2% and 283.0%, respectively (Table 5).

Table 5. The RBV of L-Met in comparison to DL-Met of ADG of yellow-feathered broilers from 1 to 30 days of age

Evaluation indicators	Regression equation <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	RBV
ADG	Y=16.025+4.374×[1-Exp-(19.838X <sub>1</sub> +34.202X <sub>2</sub> )]	0.913	172.4%
ADFI	Y=31.850+3.436×[1-Exp-(40.649X <sub>1</sub> +35.853X <sub>2</sub> )]	0.660	88.2%
F/G	Y=1.9968-0.2528×[1-Exp-(15.4828X <sub>1</sub> +43.8156X <sub>2</sub> )]	0.955	283.0%

ADG = average daily gain; ADFI = average daily feed intake; F/G = Feed to gain ratio; RBV= relative bioavailability.

 $^{1}$ Y= a + b × (1 – EXP – (c<sub>1</sub>X<sub>1</sub> + c<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>)), in which y = variable (ADFI, ADG, F/G), a = intercept (value for the CON), b = asymptotic response, a + b = common asymptote (maximum growth performance level), c<sub>1</sub> = slope ratio for L-Met, c<sub>2</sub> = slope ratio for L-Met, and X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> = dietary supplemental level of DL-Met and L-Met, respectively.

The RBV of L-Met and DL-Met were given by the ratio of their c values =  $[100 \times (c_2 / c_1)]$ .

According to the growth performance (ADF and F/G), the optimal L-Met level and DL-Met level from the quadratic regressions (quadratically, P < 0.05) were 0.44% and 0.48% (Table 6).

Table 6. Optimum methionine supplementation of yellow-feathered broilers from 1 to 30 days of age

Evaluation indicators	Met source	Regression equation <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	P value	The optimal Met supplemental group (%)	Met recommended (%)	Daily requirements (g)
ADG	DL-Met	Y=-165.91X <sup>2</sup> +52.76X+16.27	0.76	<0.001	0.20	0.48	0.165
	L-Met	Y=-167.25X <sup>2</sup> +54.46X+16.40	0.76	< 0.001	0.16	0.44	0.152
F/G	DL-Met	Y=7.54X <sup>2</sup> -2.91X+1.99	0.87	<0.001	0.20	0.48	0.165
	L-Met	Y=14.68X <sup>2</sup> -3.85X+1.97	0.77	<0.001	0.16	0.44	0.152

 ${}^{1}Y=c + bX + aX^{2}$ , where a = quadratic coefficient, b = linear coefficient, c = intercept.

The requirement of Met was defined as Met =  $-b/(2 \times a)$ .

BCAA | Importance of arginine in weanling piglets | Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance | Applications of NSP enzymes

22

## Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance of medium-growing yellow -feathered chickens between 1-30 days of age

### Results

#### Effects of dietary Met sources and supplemental levels on feather traits

The effects of different sources and levels of Met on feather traits are presented in Table 7. Dietary supplementation with 0.20% L-Met increased the length of the fourth primary wing feather and the score of moulting compared with the control group (P < 0.05).

Compared with DL-Met group, L-Met group increased the length of the fourth primary feather and the score of moulting (P < 0.05), where the highest values were observed with 0.20% supplemental L-Met in the starter phase. Significant interactions between levels and sources existed for the length of the fourth wing feather and score of moulting (P < 0.05).

Table 7. Effects of Met source and supplemental levels on feather development of yellow-feathered broilers at 30 days of ages<sup>1</sup>

	Mat Course	Mat Causes		Met Source		Sources of variation		
Item	Met Source	Met Source	SEM Main effects	Met Source	Met supplemental level	Met Supp	lemental Level	
	DL-Met	L-Met		DL-Met L-Met		Source Met level	Met ×	
Met supplemental level	0.00 0.04 0.08 0.12 0.16 0.20	0.04 0.08 0.12 0.16 0.20		0.0	00 0.04 0.08 0.12 0.16 0.20			
Length of the fourth primary feather (cm)	6.78 <sup>b</sup> 6.71 <sup>b</sup> 6.55 <sup>b</sup> 6.71 <sup>b</sup> 6.79 <sup>b</sup> 6.79 <sup>b</sup>	6.80 <sup>b</sup> 7.79 <sup>a</sup> 6.77 <sup>b</sup> 6.97 <sup>b</sup> 8.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.222	6.72 <sup>b</sup> 7.21 <sup>a</sup> 6.7	$78^{b}$ 6.76 <sup>b</sup> 7.17 <sup>ab</sup> 6.74 <sup>b</sup> 6.88 <sup>b</sup> 7.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.0004 0	.0085 0.0035	
Score of moulting	7.39 <sup>cd</sup> 7.48 <sup>cd</sup> 7.19 <sup>d</sup> 7.32 <sup>cd</sup> 7.25 <sup>d</sup> 7.45 <sup>cd</sup>	7.63 <sup>c</sup> 8.20 <sup>b</sup> 7.37 <sup>cd</sup> 7.43 <sup>cd</sup> 10.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.113	7.35 <sup>b</sup> 8.15 <sup>a</sup> 7.3	39 <sup>b</sup> 7.56 <sup>b</sup> 7.70 <sup>b</sup> 7.35 <sup>b</sup> 7.34 <sup>b</sup> 9.16 <sup>a</sup>	<.0001 <	.0001 <.0001	

<sup>a-d</sup> Within a row, means with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>Data are means of 6 replicates with 5 birds per replicate for the interaction.

For the main effects of Met source, data are means of 30 replicates with 5 birds per replicate;

the main effects of Met supplemental level, data are means of 12 replicates with 5 birds per replicate.



23

## Effects of L-Met and DL-Met on growth performance of medium-growing yellow -feathered chickens between 1-30 days of age

#### Discussion

Methionine is an essential amino acid for animals (especially poultry) and an important substance for protein synthesis (Luo and Levine, 2009). Increasing dietary Met levels can significantly improve ADG and F/G of broilers (Dilger and Baker, 2007; Li et al., 2021; Zeitz et al., 2019). In the current study, dietary Met improved the growth performance of mediumgrowing yellow-feathered broilers during the starter phase, and the growth performance of L-Met supplementation groups was significantly higher than that of DL-Met supplementation groups. It suggested a difference of efficacy between L-Met and D-Met. L-methionine can be directly absorbed and utilized by the body, while D-Met needs D-AAO for conversion which has a different activity depending of species, tissue and age (Zhang et al., 2018). The current study showed that the efficacy of L-Met was higher than DL-Met. Some previous studies had similar findings, Shen et al. (2015) showed that the RBV of L-Met compared to D-Met was 140.7% in broilers. Zhang et al. (2019) found that the RBV of L-Met in Cherry Valley ducks compared to DL-Met was 120-140% based on ADG and F/G.

Feathers are mostly made of keratin, and the properties of keratin depend on the amount of sulfur-containing amino acids, including Met and cystine (Zheng and Zhang, 1989). The present results demonstrated that dietary supplementation with L-Met increased the length of the fourth wing feather and the moulting score compared to the control birds, indicating that inadequate Met impaired feather growth of broilers. The present findings are similar to those of Zeng et al. (2015) found that dietary supplementation Met improved the feather coverage and the length of the fourth wing feather. Guo et al. (2011) also found that dietary supplementation with Met increased total and relative feather weight and coverage in ducks. This may be related to the fact that Met can promote the deposition of feather protein and improve the sulfur content and keratin composition of feathers. It is noteworthy that L-Met created a better feather trait than DL-Met. The reason may be that the insufficient activity of D-AAO in broilers during starter phase, which leads to lack of sulfur containing amino acids for proper feather growth.

The present results with yellow-feathered broilers suggest that Met deficiency served as a stressor, and higher dietary levels of Met did provide an obvious growth promotion effect. The results obtained here using regression analyses, indicate that the Met requirement using either L-Met or DL-Met in medium-speed yellow-feathered broilers are 0.44% and 0.48%, respectively. The higher Met requirement in DL-Met rather than L-Met supplemented birds is due to the lower efficacy of DL-Met compared with L-Met. The stated requirement for Met of Chinese yellow-feathered chicken (Ministry of Agriculture, PRC, 2020) is 0.44% during the start. It phase and the NRC recommended level (Nutrient Requirements of Poultry, National Research Council, 1994) is 0.50%. Thus 0.44% L-Met is recommended for medium-speed yellow-feathered broilers in the starter phase in diets supplemented with L-Met.

# Conclusion

Dietary supplementation with L-Met or DL-Met enhanced the growth performance and feather traits of medium-growing yellow-feathered broilers aged 1 to 30 d. The efficiacy of L-Met was higher than DL-Met.



2/

#### References

Akbarian, A., J. Michiels, J. Degroote, M. Majdeddin, A. Golian, S. De Smet. 2016. Association between heat stress and oxidative stress in poultry; mitochondrial dysfunction and dietary interventions with phytochemicals. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechno. 7: 37.

Nutrition

Brachet, P., and A. Puigserver. 1992. Regional differences for the D-amino acid oxidase
-catalysed oxidation of D-methionine in chicken small intestine. Comp.
Biochem. Phys. B. 101(4):509.

Castellano, R., M. H. Perruchot, J. A. Conde-Aguilera, V. M. Jaap, C. Anne, T Sophie,
 M. Yves, and G. Florence. 2015. A methionine Deficient Diet Enhances Adipose
 Tissue Lipid metabolism and Alters Anti-Oxidant Pathways in Young Growing Pigs.
 PLoS. One. 10(7):e130514.

Chen, Y., D. Li, Z. Dai, X. Piao, Z. Wu, B. Wang, Y. Zhu, and Z. Zeng. 2014. L-methionine supplementation maintains the integrity and barrier function of the small-intestinal mucosa in post-weaning piglets. Amino Acids.46: 1131-1142.

•Chinese feed database. 2021. Tables of feed composition and nutritive values in China (32th edition). Chin. Feed. 24:66-71.

Davis, G. S., K. E. Anderson, and D. R. Jones. 2004. The effects of different beak trimming techniques on plasma corticosterone and performance criteria in Single Comb White Leghorn hens. Poult. Sci. 83(10):1624-1628.

Del-Vesco, A. P., E. Gasparino, D. O. Grieser, V. Zancanela, F. R. Gasparin, J. Constantin, N. A. R. Oliveira. 2014. Effects of methionine supplementation on the redox state of acute heat stress-exposed quails. J. Anim. Sci. 92: 806-815.

Dilger, R. N., and D. H. Baker. 2007. DL-methionine is as efficacious as L-methionine, but modest L-cystine excesses are anorexigenic in sulfur amino acid -deficient purified and practical-type diets fed to chicks. Poult. Sci. 86(11):2367-2374. Fagundes, N. S., M. C. Milfort, S. M. Williams, M. D. J. Costa, A. L. Fuller, J. F. Menten, R. Rekaya, S. E. Aggrey. 2020. Dietary methionine level alters growth, digestibility, and gene expression of amino acid transporters in meat-type chickens. Poult. Sci. 99(1):67-75.

• Guo, F. 2011. Effects of methionine on feather development of started Peking ducks of 0 to 21d of age. MS Thesis. The Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China.

Ho, T. T., J. K. K. Htoo, T. B. A. Dao, M. E. Carpena, N. A. T. Le, C. C. Vu, and Q. L. Nguyen.
 2018. Estimation of the standardized ileal digestible lysine requirement and optimal sulphur amino acids to lysine ratio for 30-50 kg pigs. J. Anim. Physiol. An. N. 103(1):
 258-268.

·Lemme, A., V. Naranjo, and D. J. C. Paula. 2020. Utilization of methionine sources for growth and Met+Cys deposition in broilers. Animals (Basel). 10(12):2240.

• Li, J., W. X. Xu, W. C. Lai, A. Kong, Z. Zhang, Y. N. Pang, Z. Wang, J. Shen, X. F. Wu, K. S. Mai, and Q. H. Ai. 2021. Effect of dietary methionine on growth performance, lipid metabolism and antioxidant capacity of large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) fed with high lipid diets. Aquaculture. 536(1):8476-8486.

· Liu, G. Q., K. Zong, L. L. Zhang, and S. Q. Cao. 2010. Dietary methionine affect meat qulity and myostatingeneexon1 regionmethy lationin skeletal muscle tissues of broilers. J. Integr. Agr. 9:1338-1346.

·Luo, S., and R. L. Levine. 2009. Methionine in proteins defends against oxidative stress. FASEB. J. 23(2):464-472.

• Majdeddin, M., A. Golian, H. Kermanshahi, J. Michiels, and S. De-Smet. 2019. Effects of methionine and guanidinoacetic acid supplementation on performance and energy metabolites in breast muscle of male broiler chickens fed corn-soybean diets. Br. Poult. Sci. 60(5):554-563. • Martinez, Y., X. Li, G. Liu, B. Peng, W. X Yan, M. Dairon, V. Manuel, C. A. Hu, W. K. Ren, and Y. L. Yin. 2017. The role of methionine on metabolism, oxidative stress, and diseases. Amino. Acids. 49(12):2091-2098.

• Martínvenegas, R., P. A. Geraert, and R. Ferrer. 2006. Conversion of the methionine hydroxy analogue DL-2-hydroxy-(4-methylthio) butanoic acid to sulfurcontaining amino acids in the chicken small intestine. Poult. Sci. 85: 1932-1938.

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of the People's Republic of China (PRC). 2020. Nutrient Requirements of Yellow Chickens. NY/T 3645-2020. China Agricultural Press, Beijing, China.

• Moghadam, M., A. Shehab, and G. Cherian. 2017. Methionine supplementation augments tissue n-3 fatty acid and tocopherol content in broiler birds fed flaxseed. Anim. Feed. Sci. Tech. 228:149-158.

•Oliveira, S., M. R. Souza, R. A. Baggio, J. P. Boito, T. J. Pasquetti, T. M. B. D. Santos, R. N. Moresco, A. S. D. Silva, and D, Paiano. 2021. Pigs fed various levels of crude protein and raised above the thermoneutral zone: effects on protein metabolism and nitrogen balance. Res. Soc. Dev. 10(1): e21210111345.

• Park, I., T. Pasquetti, R. D. Malheiros, P. R. Ferket, and S. W.Kim. 2018. Effects of supplemental l-methionine on growth performanceand redox status of turkey poults compared with theuse of dl-methionine. Poult. Sci. 97:102-109.

Perry, T. W., J. P. Baker, and F. H. Baker. Serum Amino Acid Levels and Nitrogen Balance in Ponies Fed Urea and Soybean Meal as Sources of Supplemental Dietary Nitrogen1. Prof. Anim. Sci. 1987, 3(2):39-43.

•Pollegioni, L., L. Piubelli, S. Sacchi, M. S. Pilone, G. Molla. 2007. Physiological functions of D-amino acid oxidases: from yeast to humans. Cell. Mol. Life. Sci. 64(11):1373-1394.

### References

Powell, C. D., M. A. K. Chowdhury, and D. P. Bureau. 2017. Assessing the bioavailability of l-methionine and a methionine hydroxyanalogue (MHA-Ca) compared to dl-methionine in rainbow trout(Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquac. Res. 48:332-346.

Nutrition

Richards, J. D., C. A. Atwell, M. Vazquez-Anon, and J. J. Dibner. 2005. Comparative in vitro and in vivo absorption of 2-hydroxy-4(methylthio) butanoic acid and methionine in the broiler chicken. Poult Sci. 84(9):1397-1405.

Robinson, J. L., L. E. Mcbreairty, E. W. Randell, A. B. Janet, and F. B. Robert. 2016. Restriction of dietary methyl donors limits methionine availability and affects the partitioning of dietary methionine for creatine and phosphatidylcholine synthesis in the neonatal piglet. J. Nutr. Biochem. 35:81-86.

 Seifalinasab, A., A. Mousaie, and H. Doomary. 2022. Dietary High Chromiummethionine Supplementation in Summer-Exposed Finishing Lambs: Impacts on Feed Intake, Growth Performance, and Blood Cells, Antioxidants, and Minerals. Biol. Trace. Elem. Res. 200(1):156-163.

 Shamimul, H. M., R. M. Humphrey, M. A. Crenshaw, B. James, S. F. Liao. 2019. Inclusion of GuarPro F-71 in a corn and soybean meal based diet: Effects on growth performance and nutrient metabolism in growing pigs. J. Anim. Sci. (Supplement\_2):52-53.

•Shen, Y. B., A. C. Weaver, and S. W. Kim. 2014. Effect of feed grade l-methionine on growth performance and gut health in nursery pigs compared with conventional dl-methionine. J. Anim. Sci.92:5530-5539.

Shen, Y. B., P. Ferket, I. Park, R. D. Malheiros, and S. W. Kim. 2015. Effects of feed grade l-methionine on intestinal redox status, intestinal development, and growth performance of young chickens compared with conventional DL-meth-ionine. J. Anim. Sci. 93:2977-2986.

•Tang, J. Y., Z. He, Y. G. Liu, L. Xu, and D. Q. Gong. 2021. Effect of supplementing hydroxy selenomethionine on meat quality of yellow feather broiler. Poult. Sci. 100 (10):101389.

•Throop, J. L., M. E. Kerl, and L. A. Cohn. 2004. Albumen in health and disease: Protein metabolism and function. Compendium on Continuing Education for the Practising Veterinarian -North American Edition. 26(12):932.

•Tsiagbe, V. K., M. E. Cook, A. E. Harper and M. L. Sunde. 1987. Enhanced immune responses in broiler chicks fed methionine-supplemented diets. Poult. Sci. 66(7): 1147-1154.

•Xue, J. J., M. Xie, J. Tang, W. Huang, Q. Zhang, and S. S. Hou. 2018. Effects of excess DL- and L-methionine on growth performance of starter Pekin ducks. Poult. Sci. 97(3):946-950.

Yang, Z., Z. Y. Wang, H. M. Yang, L. Xu, and D. Q. Gong. 2017. Effects of dietary methionine and betaine on slaughter performance, biochemical and enzymatic parameters in goose liver and hepatic composition. Anim. Feed. Sci.Tech. 228:48-58.

•Yi, G. F., A. M. Gaines, B. W. Ratliff, P. Srichana, G. L. Allee, K.R. Perryman, and C. D. Knight. 2006. Estimation of the true ileal digestible lysine and sulfur amino acid requirement and comparison of the bioefficacy of 2-hydroxy-4-(methyl thio)butanoic acid and dl-methionine in eleven- to twenty-six-kilogram nursery pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 84:1709–1721.

Zeitz, J. O., S. Mohrmann, S. C. Kading, M. Devlikamov, I. Niewalda, R. Whelan, A. Helmbrecht, and K. Eder. 2019. Effects of methionine on muscle protein synthesis and degradation pathways in broilers. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. (Berl). 103(1):191-203. • Zeng, Q. F., Q. Zhang, X. Chen, A. Doster, R. Murdoch, M. Makagon, A. Gardner, and T. J. Applegate. 2015. Effect of dietary methionine content on growth performance, carcass traits, and feather growth of Pekin duck from 15 to 35 days of age. Poult. Sci. 94:1592-1599.

• Zhang, S., E. R. Gilbert, K. J. T. Noonan, B. Saremi, and E. A. Wong. 2018. Gene expression and activity of methionine converting enzymes in broiler chickens fed methionine isomers or precursors. Poult. Sci. 97(6):2053-2063.

<sup>•</sup> Zhang, Y. N., R. S. Xu, L. Min, D. Ruan, H. Y. Kim, Y. G. Hong, W. Chen, S. Wang, W. G. Xia, X. Luo, C. Y. Xie, X. G. Shang, and C. T. Zheng. 2019. Effects of L-methionine on growth performance, carcass quality, feather traits, and small intestinal morphology of Pekin ducks compared with conventional DL-methionine. Poult. Sci. 98(12):6866-6872.

·Zheng, W. Z., and S. Z. Zhang. 1989. Comparative analysis of the composition of duck's feather. Nat. Sci. Xiamen University, China. 28:667-671. (in Chinese).